## **Time-Critical Decisions with Real-Time Information Extraction**

### **Dissertation Defense**



- Xingran Chen, ESE Department
- Advisor: Prof. Shirin Saeedi Bidokhti

## Introduction

Backgrounds





### The Real World

• Examples



remote sensing,testing andestimation, andcontrol strategiescontrol in wirelessto track or containnetworksthe disease



### Decisions



Networks

Actions





## Introduction

- Universal challenges:
  - Sequential decision making, real-time (partial) observations.
  - Contrast between optimal and timely information extraction.
- Entire Goal:



## Introduction

- Outline of the Thesis:
  - random access channels.
  - estimation error, random access channels.
  - policies, minimum Aol/estimation error, ad-hoc networks.
  - communication rate.
  - temporally and spatially, containing of the spread of COVID-19.

Chapter 2 — Age of information (Aol): decentralized transmission policies, minimum Aol,

Chapter 3 — Beyond Aol: decentralized sampling and transmission policies, minimum

Chapter 4 — Extension to Ad-hoc Networks: decentralized sampling and transmission

Chapter 5 — Tradeoffs between Aol and rate: broadcast transmission policies, Aol vs.

Chapter 6 — From Aol to Public Health: testing and isolation policies, processes evolving

### Backgrounds

- Quality of user experience > Quality of service [1].
- Traditional designs:



- Now, information is collected and communicated in real-time.
- Age of Information,  $h_k$ , quantify the freshness of information [2, 3]
- $u_k$ : generation time of the latest update

[1] Banerjee-Ulukus, The freshness game: timely communications in the presence of an adversary, 2023. [2] Kaul-Gruteser-Rai-Kenny, Minimizing age of information in vehicular networks, 2011. [3] Kaul-Yates-Grusteser, On piggybacking in vehicular networks, 2011.

waiting to be transmitted and replicated **Receiver(s)** high rate low latency

Go beyond classical metrics: connectivity, rate, reliability, bit error, and latency.

te, 
$$h_k = k - u_k$$
.

### **Problem Formulation**

- *M* identical source nodes
- Physical process  $X_{i,k+1} = X_{i,k} + \Lambda_{i,k}$ ,  $\Lambda_{i,k} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$
- Collision channel, collision feedback
- Minimum mean square error estimator:  $\hat{X}_{i,k}$
- Age of Information for source *i*:  $h_{i,k}$
- Deciding in a decentralized manner.
- **Goal:** minimize normalized average estimation error (NAEE)

$$L(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \Big[ \frac{1}{KM^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Big( X_{i,k} - \hat{X}_{i,k}^{\pi} \Big)^2 \Big]$$

Chapters 2 ~ 4 Receiver **Collision channel** Source 1 Source 2 Source M ....



### **Motivations & Literature Review**

- Sampling: single-user systems  $[1,2,3,4,5] \rightarrow Our$  works: multi-user systems
- Reliable vs. Timely Communication: centralized policies  $[6,7,8,9] \rightarrow$  Our works: decentralized policies
- Our works: general decentralized policies

[1] Rabi-Moustakides-Baras, Adaptive sampling for linear state estimation, 2012. [2] Lipsa-Martins, Remote state estimation with communication costs for first-order LTI systems, 2011. [3] Molin-Hirche, Event-triggered state estimation: an iterative algorithm and optimality properties, 2017. [4] Nayyar-Basar-Teneketzis-Veeravalli, Communication scheduling and remote estimation with energy harvesting sensor, 2012. [5] Chakravorty-Mahajan, Remote estimation over a packet-drop channel with Markovian state, 2020. [6] Talak-Modiano, Age-delay tradeoffs in queueing systems, 2021. [7] Sun-Polyanskiy-Uysal Biyikoglu, Remote estimation of the Wiener process over a channel with random delay, 2020. [8] Kadota-Sinha-Modiano, Scheduling algorithms for optimizing age of information in wireless networks with throughput constraints, 2019. [9] Kadota-Modiano, Minimizing the age of information in wireless networks with stochastic arrivals, 2019. [10] Gatsis-Pajic-Ribeiro-Pappas, Opportunistic control over shared wireless channels, 2015. [11] Taricco, Joint channel and data estimation for wireless sensor networks, 2012. [12] Zhang-Vasconcelos-Cui-Mitra, Distributed remote estimation over the collision channel with and without local communication, 2022. [13] Tripathi-Talak-Modiano, Information freshness in multihop wireless networks, 2023. [14] Jones-Modiano, Minimizing age of information in spatially distributed random access wireless networks, 2022.

• Distributed decision making: no collision feedback  $[10,11,12] \rightarrow Our$  works: collision feedback

• Ad-hoc networks: centralized policies or decentralized stationary randomized policies  $[13, 14] \rightarrow$ 

- Oblivious Policies: actions do not depend on samples  $(X_{i,k})$ .
- Non-oblivious Policies: actions depend on samples  $(X_{i,k})$ .

Lemma 1. In oblivious policies, for any node

- Minimize normalized average Aol (NAAol)

$$J(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{KM^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{M} h_{i,k}^{\pi} \right]$$

Oblivious Policies, Non-oblivious Policies, and Age of Information

e *i*, 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{i,k} - \hat{X}_{i,k})^2\right] = \mathbb{E}[h_{i,k}]\sigma^2$$
.

## Chapters 2 ~ 4 $J(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{KM^2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{M} h_{i,k}^{\pi} \right]$

# **Oblivious Policies and Age of Information**

- More general setting: generating packets by a Bernoulli process with  $\theta$
- When  $\theta$  is small

achieves  $\lim J^{SA}(M) = 1/\eta$ .  $\rightarrow$  lower bound, optimality  $M \rightarrow \infty$ 

- When  $\theta$  is large: Select nodes through a thinning process
- age-gain [2]  $\rightarrow$  age reduction when receiving a new packet
- Thinning process: age-gain >  $T(k) \rightarrow$  active, slotted ALOHA
- $T(k) \rightarrow$  adaptive thinning,  $T^* \rightarrow$  stationary thinning

[1] Bertsekas-Gallager, Data Networks, 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall, 1992. [2] Kadota-Modiano, Minimizing the age of information in wireless networks with stochastic arrivals, 2021.

Theorem 1. Suppose  $\theta < 1/eM$  and define  $\eta = \lim M\theta$ . Any slotted ALOHA scheme [1]  $M \rightarrow \infty$ 





### Chapters 2 ~ 4 $J(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{KM^2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} h_{i,k}^{\pi} \right]$ **Oblivious Policies and Age of Information**



NAAol

### Theorem 2. For stationary thinning, $T^* = \lfloor eM - 1/\theta + 1 \rfloor$ . For any $\theta = 1/o(M)$ , $\lim J(M) = e/2$ . $M \rightarrow \infty$





## Chapters 2 ~ 4 $J(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{KM^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{M} h_{i,k}^{\pi} \right]$

# **Oblivious Policies and Age of Information**

- Stationary thinning can be applied to other transmission policies.
- Theoretical guarantees & simulations are provided.

- Achievements:
- Access Channels, IEEE ISIT, 2020.
- Access Channels, IEEE TIT, 2022.
- IEEE Communications Society & Information Theory Society Joint Paper Award 2023

• [C1] X. Chen, K. Gatsis, H. Hassani and S. Saeedi-Bidokhti, Age of Information in Random

• [J1] X. Chen, K. Gatsis, H. Hassani and S. Saeedi-Bidokhti, Age of Information in Random



### **Non-oblivious Policies**

- Error process:  $\psi_i(k) = |X_i(k) \hat{X}_i(k)|$
- Error-based Thinning:  $\psi_i(k) \ge \beta \rightarrow \text{active}$ , slotted ALOHA.
- Minimize  $L(M) \rightarrow$  find optimal  $\beta^*$

Theorem 3. Let M be sufficiently large. The optimal  $\beta^*$  is approximately given by  $\beta^* \approx \sigma \sqrt{eM}$ , and  $\hat{L} \approx e\sigma^2/6$ .

• For oblivious policy,  $J^{\text{oblivious}} \approx e/2$ ,  $L^{\text{oblivious}}$ 

**Proposition 1.** For large M,  $L^{\text{oblivious}}/\hat{L} \approx 3$ .

$$L(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{KM^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left(X_{i,k} - \hat{X}_{i,k}\right)\right]$$

blivious 
$$\approx e\sigma^2/2$$







### **Non-oblivious Policies**



### **Non-oblivious Policies**

- $L(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{KM^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( X_{i,k} \hat{X}_{i,k}^{\pi} \right)^2 \right]$ • The framework can be extended to two general settings:
- Extension 1:  $X_{i,k+1} = \gamma X_{i,k} + \Lambda_{i,k}, \Lambda_{i,k} \sim$
- Extension 2: unreliable channels, packets are erased with an erasure probability.

- Achievements:
- [C2] X. Chen, X. Liao, and S. Saeedi-Bidokhti, Real-time Sampling and Estimation on Random Access Channels: Age of Information and Beyond, IEEE INFOCOM, 2021.
- [J2] X. Chen, X. Liao, and S. Saeedi-Bidokhti, Beyond Aol: Real-time Sampling and Estimation on Reliable and Unreliable Random Access Channels, IEEE/ACM ToN, submitted.
- IEEE INFOCOM Student Conference Award

~ 
$$\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2), \gamma > 0.$$







## Chapters 2 ~ 4 oc Networks

## General Setting in Ad-hoc Networks

- Consider an ad-hoc (connected) network with M sources.
- Physical process:  $X_{i,k+1} = X_{i,k} + \Lambda_{i,k}$ ,  $\Lambda$
- Each source can be either a sender or a receiver.
- Collision channel, collision feedback
- Every source estimates the processes for every other sources.
- Source *i*: estimate  $X_{j,k}$  for source  $j(\hat{X}_{i,k}^{j})$ , calculate the AoI of source  $j(h_{i,k}^{j})$ .
- Every source decides (i) when to sample, (ii) who to communicate with, and (iii) what to transmit.

$$\Lambda_{i,k} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$



### Challenges

- Two main challenges  $\rightarrow$  Multi-agent Reinforcement Learning
  - (i) increased dimensions of decision making,

(ii) network topologies.

• **Goal**: minimize the average estimation error,

$$L(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{1}{M^2 R}$$

In oblivious policies,

$$J(M) = \min_{\pi} \lim_{K \to \infty}$$



 $\overline{K} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( X_{j,k} - X_{i,k}^{j,\pi} \right)^2 \right]$ 

1  $- \kappa \sum_{i,k}^{K} M \sum_{j,\pi}^{M} \sum_{i,k}^{M} h_{i,k}^{j,\pi}$ ]  $KM^2$ k=1 i=1 j=1



## Chapters 2 ~ 4 Graphical Reinforcement Learning

Classical Actor-Critic Framework [1]



[1] Mnih-Badia-Mirza-Graves-Harley-Lillicrap-Silver-Kavukcuoglu, Asynchronous Methods for Deep Reinforcement Learning, 2016. 17

## Chapters 2 ~ 4 of Learning

### Graphical Reinforcement Learning

- Actor ← Graph Recurrent Neural Networks
- State ← Observation
- $A \sim F_{\text{Softmax}}(HBH')$ : # of parameters in B is independent of # of sources. Transferability



Action *a* 





measurable, and symmetric.



Graphon signal [1]:  $X \in L^2([0,1])$ 

Output & operator:  $Y(v) = (T_W X)(v) =$ 

[1] Ruiz-Chamon-Ribeiro, Transferability properties of graph neural networks, 2022.

Graphon [1]: a limit of sequence of convergent graphs.  $W: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ , bounded,

$$= \int_0^1 W(u, v) X(u) du$$

19

### Transferability GNN Graph **Neural Network** (GNN) Graph Signals GRNN **Graph Recurrent Neural Network** (GRNN) Graph Signals Recurrenc **Recuirency**

Recurrency





### Transferability in GRNN

- Given (W, X), a  $(\Xi_n, x_n)$  with dimension n can be induced by (W, X)
- Given  $(\Xi_n, x_n)$ , a  $(W_{\Xi_n}, X_n)$  is induced from  $(\Xi_n, x_n)$ .

Assumption 1. The spectral response  $h(\lambda)$  of a convolutional filter  $T_{H,W}$  is L-Lipschitz in  $[-1, -\epsilon] \cup [\epsilon, 1]$ , and  $\ell$ -Lipschitz in  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  with  $\ell < L$ . Moreover,  $|h(\lambda)| < 1$ .

**Assumption 2.** The activation functions satisfy

Theorem 4.  $Y = \Phi(X; W)$  is a WRNN, the convolutional layers of  $\Phi(X; W)$  satisfying Assumptions 1 & 2. Let  $(\Xi_n, x_n)$ ,  $(W_{\Xi_n}, X_n)$  be defined above,  $Y_n = \Phi(X_n; W_{\Xi_n})$ . Then,  $||Y - Y_n|| \le \Theta_n ||X|| + c ||X - X_n||$ , where  $\Theta_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Remark:  $||Y - Y_n|| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$|\rho(x) - \rho(y)| \le |x - y|$$
, and  $\rho(0) = 0$ .



# **Transferability in Action Distribution**



• Given  $A_n \rightarrow$  obtain  $\tilde{A}_n$ . [refer to the Thesis]

as  $n \to \infty$ .

[1] Ruiz-Chamon-Ribeiro, Transferability properties of graph neural networks, 2022.

### Simulations



• Achievements: this work is ready to submit.



- Tradeoffs between AoI and communication rate in broadcast networks
- Result 1: Coding is beneficial to the AoI, and the benefits increases with # of users
- Result 2: Tradeoffs exist  $\rightarrow$  the system has to sacrifice Aol to achieve a higher rate
- Achievements:
- ITW, 2019.
- Tradeoffs, IEEE ISIT, 2021.
- Tradeoffs, IEEE TWC, submitted.



• [C3] X. Chen and S. Saeedi-Bidokhti, Benefits of Coding on Age of Information in Broadcast Networks, IEEE

• [C4] X. Chen, R. Liu, S. Wang, and S. Saeedi-Bidokhti, Timely Broadcasting in Erasure Networks: Age-Rate

• [J3] X. Chen and S. Saeedi-Bidokhti, Timely Broadcasting Mechanisms in Erasure Networks: Age-Rate







### Backgrounds

- Timely inference and detection for processes that evolve both temporally and spatially. COVID-19 in contact networks
- How to contain the spread as soon as possible? Sequentially policy.
- Testing has a dual role: (i) detect infected nodes, and (ii) learn the spread.
- Paradigm I: contact tracing [1, 2] - pure exploitation
- Paradigm II: random testing — pure exploration
- Silent spread: an undetected individual may infect its neighbors

[1] Kojaku,-Dufresne-Mones-et al, The effectiveness of backward contact tracing in networks, 2021. [2] Ou-Sinha-Suen-et al. Who and when to screen: Multi-round active screening for network recurrent infectious diseases under uncertainty, 2020.

### Tradeoffs between exploitation of knowledge and exploration of the unknown.

### **Motivations & Literature Review**

- Estimation & Prediction: SIR and variants  $[1,2,3] \rightarrow Our$ work: testing and isolation policy.
- Differential Equation Approximations: no heterogeneity  $[4,5] \rightarrow Our$  work: heterogeneity & spread
- Comparing to other RL:
  - Multi-armed Bandit [6,7]  $\rightarrow$  Our work: time-variant actions
  - Active Search [8,9]  $\rightarrow$  Our work: dynamic target
  - POMDP [10]  $\rightarrow$  Our work: general setting
- Novel Exploitation-Exploration Tradeoffs

[1] Bastani-Drakopoulos-Gupta-et al., Efficient and targeted COVID-19 border testing via reinforcement learning, 2021.

[2] Ramos-Ferrandez-Perez-et al., A simple but complex enough  $\theta$ -SIR type model to be used with COVID-19 real data: application to the case Italy, 2021. [3] Hu-Geng, Heterogeneity learning for SIRS model: an application to the COVID-19, 2021.

[4] Tanaka-Kuga-Tanimoto, Pair approximation model for the vaccination game: predicting the dynamic process of epidemic spread and individual actions against contagion, 2021.

[5] Kabir-Tanimoto, Evolutionary vaccination game approach in metapopulation migration model with information spreading on different graphs, 2019. [6] Auer-Bianchi-Fischer, Finite-time Analysis of the Multiarmed Bandit Problem, 2002.

[7] Agrawal-Goyal, Regret analysis of stochastic and nonstochastic multi-armed bandit problems, 2012. [8] Bilgic-Mihalkova-Getoor, Active learning for networked data, 2010

[9] Wang-Garnett-Schneider, Active search on graphs, 2013.

[10] Singh-Liu-Shroff, A Partially Observable MDP Approach for Sequential Testing for Infectious Diseases such as COVID-19, 2020.





### **Problem Formulation**

- Susceptible (S), Latent (L), Infectious (I), and Recovered(R)
- $\beta$ : transmission rate,  $\lambda$ : ill-being rate,  $\gamma$ : recovery rate
- An individual tested positive will be isolated immediately.
- A recovered individual can not be infected again.
- Only infectious individuals can infect others.
- B(t): testing budget;  $\mathscr{K}^{\pi}(t)$ : the set of tests;  $C^{\pi}(T)$ : the cumulative infections
- **Goal:** minimize the cumulative infections under budget constraints



 $\mathbb{E}[C^{\pi}(T)]$ min  $\pi: |\mathscr{K}^{\pi}(t)| \leq B(t), 0 \leq t \leq T-1$ 



### Supermodularity

Lemma 2. 
$$\mathbb{E}[C^{\pi}(t+1) - C^{\pi}(t)] = S(\mathcal{V}(t))$$
  
Remark  $\min_{\pi: |\mathcal{K}^{\pi}(t)| \le B(t), 0 \le t \le T-1} \mathbb{E}[C^{\pi}(T)] \rightarrow$ 

Theorem 6.  $S(\mathscr{K}^{\pi}(t); t)$  is a supermodular [1] and increasing monotone function on  $\mathscr{K}^{\pi}(t)$ .

By Algorithm A in [1],  $S(\mathcal{V}(t) \setminus \tilde{\mathscr{K}}(t); t) \leq (1 + \epsilon_t) OPT$ ,

 $\epsilon_t = \max_{a \in \mathcal{V}(t)} \frac{S(\mathcal{V}(t); t) - S(\mathcal{V}(t) \setminus \{a\}; t) - S(a(t); t)}{S(\mathcal{V}(t); t) - S(\mathcal{V}(t) \setminus \{a\}; t)}$ 

[1] Ilev, An approximation guarantee of the greedy descent algorithm for minimizing a supermodular set function, 2001.

•  $S(\mathcal{D};t)$ : the expected number of newly infectious nodes incurred by nodes in  $\mathcal{D}$  on day t.

 $\mathscr{K}^{\pi}(t); t).$ 

 $S(\mathcal{V}(t) \setminus \mathcal{K}^{\pi}(t); t)$ mın  $|\mathscr{K}^{\pi}(t)| \leq B(t)$ 



### **Exploitation and Exploration**

by node *i* on day *t*.

Lemma 3. 
$$S(\mathcal{V}(t) \setminus \mathcal{K}^{\pi}(t); t) \leq S(\mathcal{V}(t); t) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}^{\pi}(t)} r_i(t).$$
  
Remark  $\min_{|\mathcal{K}^{\pi}(t)| \leq B(t)} S(\mathcal{V}(t) \setminus \mathcal{K}^{\pi}(t); t) \rightarrow \max_{|\mathcal{K}^{\pi}(t)| \leq B(t)} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{K}^{\pi}(t)} r_i(t)$ 

- Exploration: Test node *i* with probability  $\min\{1, B(t)r_i(t) / \sum r_i(t)\}$ .
- Question: How to estimate  $\{r_i(t)\}_i$ ?

• Reward:  $r_i(t) = S(\{i\}; t) \rightarrow$  the expected number of newly infectious nodes incurred

• Exploitation: Re-arrange  $\{r_i(t)\}_i$  in descending order, and test the first B(t) nodes.





### Message-Passing Framework

- $\underline{u}_i(t)$ : the prior probability vector of the true probability vector of node i
- $\underline{w}_{i}(t)$ : the posterior probability vector of the true probability vector of node i



### **Backward Updating Is Necessary**

• Example 1: A line network with N nodes. Set  $\beta = 1, \lambda = 0, \gamma = 0$ .  $\rightarrow No L$  and R states. B(t) = 1. On the initial day, each node is infected with probability 1/N. No isolation policy.



Theorem 7. Without the backward updating, for any testing policy that sequentially computes  $\{\underline{u}_i(t)\}_i$ , with probability 1/e,  $\sum ||\underline{v}_i(t) - \underline{u}_i(t)|| \to \Theta(N)$  as  $t \to \infty$  for large N. With the backward updating, there exists a policy, such that  $\sum ||\underline{v}_i(t) - \underline{u}_i(t)|| = 0$  for  $t \ge 2N$ .



### **Exploration Is Necessary**

states. B(t) = 10. Node 1 is infected. A slightly wrong initial estimate.



are done following exploitation.

Theorem 8. With probability  $p_0 \ge 99/100$ , c a constant depending on N and  $p_0$ , and  $c(N_1)$ 

• Example 2: A line network with N nodes. Set  $\beta = 1, \lambda = 0, \gamma = 0$ .  $\rightarrow No L$  and R

• A specific exploration: 1 (out of 10) test is done randomly, and the other 9 tests

on day *T*, 
$$\frac{C^{\text{Exploitation}}}{C^{\text{Exploration}}} \ge c(N, p_0)$$
, where  $c(N, p_0)$   
(,  $p_0$ ) can be arbitrarily large.



### Simulations



Day



### Simulations

- Unregulated delay: initial start  $\rightarrow$  the first time intervention start
- Clustering coefficient: nodes in a graph tend to cluster together
- Shortest path-length: the average shortest distance between every pairs
- Conclusion: When the above parameters increase, exploration becomes more beneficial as it provides better estimates of nodes' probabilities of infection.
- Relationship to previous chapters: (1) Temporal processes  $\rightarrow$  Temporal and spatial processes; (2) Timeliness of nodes  $\rightarrow$  Timeliness of networks
- Achievements:
- learning: to exploit or to explore? TMLR, 2023.

• [J4] X. Chen, H. Nikpey, J. Kim, S. Sarkar, and S. Saeedi-Bidokhti, Containing a spread through sequential



Prof. Shirin Saeedi Bidokhti

• Prof. Saswati Sarkar, Prof. Hamed Hassani, Dr. Navid NaderiAlizadeh

Collaborators

Colleagues & ESE Department

Thesis Committee

## Acknowledgement

